Chaos-revealing multiplicative representation of quantum eigenstates

P Leboeuf†‡ and A Voros‡§

† Division de Physique Théorique‖, Institut de Physique Nucléaire, 91406 Orsay Cedex, France
‡ Service de Physique Théorique de Saclay¶, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

Abstract. The quantisation of the two-dimensional toric and spherical phase spaces is considered in analytic coherent state representations. Every pure quantum state admits therein a finite multiplicative parametrisation by the zeros of its Husimi function. For eigenstates of quantised systems, this description explicitly reflects the nature of the underlying classical dynamics: in the semiclassical regime, the distribution of the zeros in the phase space becomes one dimensional for integrable systems, and highly spread out (conceivably uniform) for chaotic systems. This multiplicative representation thereby acquires a special relevance for semiclassical analysis in chaotic systems.

It is still a basic open problem in semiclassical mechanics to describe the *individual* eigenfunctions of a quantum system when the corresponding classical dynamics is chaotic. The simplest models are found among area-preserving maps on compact phase spaces of dimension two, of which several have been quantised. However, as far as *rigorously proven* chaotic maps are concerned (e.g. the cat and baker's maps), the torus T^2 is the only phase space occurring to date. (See recent reviews by Eckhardt 1988, Voros 1989a, and references therein.)

This paper is inspired by the successes of phase space representations for quantum eigenstates (Wigner: Hannay and Berry 1980, Husimi: Leboeuf and Saraceno 1990). From *analytic* coherent state representations for the torus and the sphere, we extract *finite multiplicative* parametrisations of the (pure) quantum states, which reveal distinctive patterns of semiclassical behaviour for eigenstates of integrable and chaotic systems.

The torus phase space is a periodically repeated unit square in suitable (q, p) coordinates. As its quantum Hilbert space, we can take the space $\mathcal{H}_N$ of wavefunctions $|\psi\rangle\!\rangle$ periodic both in position and momentum representations. This space has a *finite* dimension N, with the consistency condition

$$2\pi N \hbar = \text{Area} \ (= 1). \tag{1}$$

Usual representations of this quantum mechanics are *discrete* and labelled by the finite integer N; $N \to \infty$ gives the classical limit (Hannay and Berry 1980). We prefer to use a *continuous*, actually *analytic* coherent-state representation of $\mathcal{H}_N$, built out from the

§ CNRS Researcher.
‖ Unité de recherche des Universités de Paris XI et Paris VI associée au CNRS (present address).
¶ Laboratoire de l'Institut de Recherche Fondamentale du Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique.

standard (Weyl group) coherent states on the plane R^2 (Klauder and Skagerstam 1985, Perelomov 1986).

A non-normalised coherent state of the plane, centred at a point (q, p), is

$$|z\rangle = \exp(\bar{z}a^\dagger)|0\rangle \qquad z = 2^{-1/2}(q - ip) \tag{2}$$

and it has the kernel

$$\langle z \mid q'\rangle = (\pi\hbar)^{-1/4} \exp\{-[\tfrac{1}{2}(z^2 + q'^2) - \sqrt{2}zq']/\hbar\}. \tag{3}$$

The coherent state decomposition of a wavefunction $|\psi\rangle$ over the whole line

$$\psi(z) = \langle z \mid \psi\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dq' \langle z \mid q'\rangle\psi(q') \tag{4}$$

is an entire function $\psi(z)$ of order 2, the Bargmann transform of $|\psi\rangle$ (Bargmann 1961, 1967).

The adaptation to the torus is based on two observations.

(i) A state $|\psi\rangle\rangle$ of $\mathcal{H}_N$ admits a natural position representation on the infinite coordinate line as a periodicised sum

$$\psi(q) = \sum_{v=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \psi_n \delta(q - n/N - v) \tag{5}$$

where ψ_n are the discrete position components $\psi_n = \langle\langle n|\psi\rangle\rangle$, the eigenstate $|n\rangle\rangle$ being 'localised' at $q = n/N \bmod 1$.

(ii) The original Bargmann transformation directly operates on the representation (5), which lies in the distribution space $\mathscr{F}^{-2}$ (in the notation of Bargmann 1967). There is consequently no need to invent a different transformation for $\mathcal{H}_N$. With (1) and (3), (4) reduces to

$$\psi(z) = (2N)^{1/4} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \theta_3(-i\pi N(\sqrt{2}\, z - n/N)|iN) \exp\{-\pi N[z^2 + (n/N)^2 - 2\sqrt{2}\, z(n/N)]\}\,\psi_n \tag{6}$$

where θ_3 is the Jacobi theta function (Whittaker and Watson 1965)

$$\theta_3(v \mid \tau) = \sum_{v=-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(i\pi\tau v^2 + 2ivv). \tag{7}$$

We may also interpret (6) as a scalar product in $\mathcal{H}_N$

$$\psi(z) = \langle\langle z \mid \psi\rangle\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \langle\langle z|n\rangle\rangle\psi_n$$

thereby defining the $\mathcal{H}_N$-coherent state $\langle\langle z|$, which obeys *quasiperiod* relations for v, μ integers

$$\langle\langle z + 2^{-1/2}(v - i\mu)| = \exp\{\pi N[i\mu v + (v^2 + \mu^2)/2 + \sqrt{2}(v + i\mu)z]\}\langle\langle z|. \tag{8}$$

We now exploit the *analytic* properties of the representation (4). For $|\psi\rangle\rangle$ in $\mathcal{H}_N$, $\psi(z)$ is a function analytic in the fundamental square $[0, 2^{-1/2}] \times [0, 2^{-1/2}]$ with its boundary Γ included, and satisfying the continuation conditions drawn from (8)

$$\psi(z + 1/\sqrt{2}) = \exp[\pi N(\tfrac{1}{2} + \sqrt{2}\,z)]\psi(z) \tag{9a}$$

$$\psi(z + i/\sqrt{2}) = \exp[\pi N(\tfrac{1}{2} - i\sqrt{2}\,z)]\psi(z). \tag{9b}$$

Such a function has the following general properties (*compactness* of phase space enters now):

(i) $\qquad (2\pi i)^{-1} \oint_\Gamma \dfrac{\psi'}{\psi}\,\mathrm{d}z = N.$ $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ (10)

Like a 'polynomial of degree N', $\psi(z)$ has exactly N zeros in the square (zeros will be counted with their multiplicities):

(ii) $\qquad (2\pi i)^{-1} \oint_\Gamma z\dfrac{\psi'}{\psi}\,\mathrm{d}z = 2^{-3/2}N(1 + i) \qquad \mathrm{mod}\left(\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \dfrac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ $\qquad$ (11)

giving one constraint among the N zeros

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} z_j = 2^{-3/2}N(1 + i) \qquad \mathrm{mod}\left(\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \dfrac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \tag{12}$$

(iii) Of particular importance is the case $N = 1$, connected with the lattice representations of quantum mechanics (reviewed by Perelomov 1986). The Bargmann transform of the unique state in $\mathcal{H}_1$

$$\psi_1(z) = 2^{1/4} \exp(-\pi z^2)\theta_3(-i\pi\sqrt{2}\,z \mid i). \tag{13}$$

is 'the monomial'. Its single zero is constrained by (12) to lie at the centre z_0 of the square

$$z_0 = 2^{-3/2}(1 + i). \tag{14}$$

(iv) The Weierstrass–Hadamard factorisation allows the reconstruction of entire functions from their zeros. Here, it reduces to a multiplication formula from elliptic function theory

$$\psi(z) = Z \; \exp\left\{\left[2\pi \sum_{1}^{N}(\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_0)\right]z\right\} \prod_{1}^{N} \psi_1(z + z_0 - z_j). \tag{15}$$

Consequently, ignoring the complex factor Z (required by normalisation), *we can represent each quantum state of $\mathcal{H}_N$ as an $(N - 1)$-parameter family of points in the fundamental square, the complex zeros $\{z_j\}$*. A similar scheme has been recently used in a different context, the quantised Hall effect (Arovas *et al* 1988).

For the phase space of a spin, the Bloch sphere S^2, a similar treatment has also long existed. If $S = \tfrac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots$ is the spin value, the Bargmann space $\mathcal{H}$ consists of ordinary polynomials of degree $2S$, with dim $\mathcal{H} = 2S + 1$ (Klauder and Skagerstam 1985). These

have $2S$ unconstrained zeros, and (15) is replaced by the elementary factorisation for polynomials. More generally, the factorisation approach can be used with many spaces of entire functions. In higher dimensions, however, one will run into all the difficulties of analytic function theory in several variables.

Consider now the *Husimi representation* of a state $|\psi\rangle$ over the whole plane (see Kurchan *et al* 1989 for the spherical case)

$$W_\psi(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{|\langle z \mid \psi \rangle|^2}{\langle z \mid z \rangle} = \exp(-|z|^2/\hbar)|\langle z|\psi\rangle|^2 . \tag{16}$$

For ψ in $\mathcal{H}_N$, W_ψ becomes doubly periodic by (9), thereby defining a *Husimi distribution over the torus*. This W_ψ is not only positive as usual, but also precisely vanishing at the N zeros of $\psi(z)$. (Hence, by positivity, an impure state will give strictly fewer zeros, and generically none). Moreover, W_ψ factorises via (15), as

$$W_\psi(z) = C \prod_1^N W_{\psi_1}(z + z_0 - z_j) \tag{17}$$

where W_{ψ_1} is the Husimi function of the 'monomial' ψ_1 with $N = 1$ ($C > 0$ sets the normalisation).

We have thus arrived at the conclusion that by itself, the set of zeros of W_ψ encodes the full quantum information, which is retrieved via (15). We therefore suggest that *semiclassical analysis itself can be directly based upon the zeros* of the Husimi function (rather than on the high density regions of W_ψ, or scars). Here, as in the WKB method, $\log \psi$ (or ψ'/ψ, or $\log W_\psi$) appear to be the relevant functions.

The most immediate semiclassical property of the zeros is a global one: their number is precisely N (in the square), and $N \to \infty$. Further semiclassical properties must then translate themselves upon the asymptotic distribution of the zeros as $N \to \infty$. We now confirm this idea by showing a sample of eigenstates in the Husimi representation, for various systems on the torus and the sphere.

Each Husimi function W_ψ is plotted twice: the top plot, on a *linear* density scale, stresses in dark the peaks of W_ψ (classical features, scars), while the bottom plot, on a *logarithmic* density scale with an adjusted contrast factor, stresses as white spots the zeros of W_ψ (quantum features).

Figure 1(a) shows the 'monomial' ψ_1 ($N = 1$), given by (13), which is also the elementary factor in (15), while figure 1(b) shows the coherent state $|0\rangle\rangle$ for $N = 16$.

Figure 2 shows eigenstates of *Hamiltonians*, this being the classically integrable case.

The Harper Hamiltonian is $H = 2 - \cos 2\pi p - \cos 2\pi q$ (on the torus). Its ground state looks very similar to the coherent state $|0\rangle\rangle$ having the same N (cf figure 1(b)). Figure 2(a) shows the 15th state for $N = 31$, lying just below the classical *separatrix* energy (coordinates are shifted). The zeros are neatly aligned on four straight lines. In fact, all (non-degenerate) states of the system have this property.

The Lipkin Hamiltonian on the sphere (Lipkin *et al* 1965) is $H = \cos \theta + \frac{1}{2}\chi \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\varphi$; figure 2(b) shows the second state of the quantised model having $S = 15$ and $\chi = 0.5$. Again, *the zeros lie along curves*, which depend on the classical energy but seemingly not on dim $\mathcal{H}$.

This is a property we have observed in all integrable examples studied.

Eigenfunctions of *chaotic maps* are now displayed in figures 3 and 4.

Figure 1. Husimi function plots. Top half: linear density scale; bottom half: a logarithmic density scale stressing the location of the zeros. (*a*) The monomial ψ_1 ($N = 1$); (*b*) the coherent state $|0\rangle\!\rangle$ ($N = 16$).

Figure 3 shows, on the torus, two states of the quantised baker's map with $N = 64$ (Balazs and Voros 1989, Saraceno 1990), chosen for their distinctive features *on the linear scale*: on figure 3(*a*), an 'almost ergodic' state; on figure 3(*b*), in shifted coordinates, a state strongly scarred by the unique classical fixed point at the origin. Unlike the former, the latter is highly concentrated and reminiscent of the integrable separatrix state of figure 2(*a*). However, the pictures on the logarithmic scale display a totally different connection: both baker states have their *zeros highly spread out* on the square, as opposed to the concentration along curves of integrable examples. This holds for all baker states; we have only found, for $N = 2^k$, one isolated (arithmetical?) exception of a state with a dominantly linear distribution of zeros.

The same property holds for the cat map (also defined on the torus). One 'irregular' (typical) state, and a very regular one (there are a few of them), are shown in figures

Figure 2. As figure 1, but showing eigenstates of integrable systems. (*a*) Harper's equation ($N = 31$); (*b*) Lipkin model ($S = 15$).

4(*a*)–4(*b*), for the quantised cat map with $N = 64$ (Hannay and Berry 1980).

Thus, irrespectively of the superficial features of the Husimi distribution (irregular or regular, heavily scarred or not), *the zeros seem to fill, like a gas, the whole phase space area left out by the high density regions*. We view this behaviour as a *characteristic quantal signature of classical chaos*.

Finally, we have examined a kicked spin map on the sphere, which classically exhibits the generic regular-to-chaotic transition as a coupling constant $\beta = \mu B$ is increased: for $\beta = 0.2$ the phase space has a mixed structure, while for $\beta = 1$ the classical motion looks totally chaotic (Nakamura *et al* 1986). In the quantised version ($S = 30$), this transition seems to reflect itself in the organisation of the zeros. For the eigenfunction of figure 5(*a*) ($\beta = 0.2$) some zeros are aligned and others spread out, while in figure 5(*b*) ($\beta = 1$) the zeros diffuse over the whole sphere. All of this clearly requires further study.

Figure 3. As figure 1, but showing two eigenstates of the baker's map ($N = 64$).

The linear concentration of zeros for integrable systems has a semiclassical explanation. As $\hbar \to 0$, an eigenstate admits a finite WKB expression

$$\psi(z) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_k \exp[S_k(z)/\hbar]$$

where $\{S_k\}$ are branches of the classical complex action in the z variable (Kurchan *et al* 1989, Voros 1989b). The vanishing of such ψ generically requires Re $S_j =$ Re $S_k >$ Re S_l for two branches j, k (l running over all other branches involved). Each such equality defines a classical curve (a sort of anti-Stokes line), upon which the zeros are selected by a further condition, Im $(S_j - S_k) = (2m\pi + \text{constant})\hbar$, which makes them regularly distributed with spacing $2\pi\hbar[\text{Im }(S_j' - S_k')]^{-1} = O(N^{-1})$. Conversely, the product formula (15) over a distribution of zeros of this type will become equivalent to a WKB expression in the large-N limit.

Figure 4. As figure 1, but showing two eigenstates of the cat map ($N = 64$).

By contrast, the observed distribution of zeros in all chaotic examples is roughly *bidimensional*, implying an average spacing $O(N^{-1/2})$. This is the signature of an *altogether different* semiclassical regime.

We risk an explanation connected with the *ergodicity* of the classical motion. This, and the correspondence principle, suggest that the quantum phase space distributions must tend to the microcanonical (i.e. uniform) density in the classical limit (see Eckhardt 1988). With the Wigner distribution, this can only happen after some smoothing (its wild oscillations do not tend to vanish as $\hbar \to 0$). The Husimi function is precisely a Wigner function smoothed over widths $O(\sqrt{\hbar}) = O(N^{-1/2})$: can *it* tend pointwise to the flat density? Now, the mere presence of the *zeros*, and their *proliferation* as $N \to \infty$, demonstrate that this smoothing is not sufficient. However, the spreading of those zeros should make the Husimi distribution more amenable to uniformisation upon further smoothing. Indeed, for identical N we have observed a *much lower global contrast* (before adjustment) in chaotic Husimi functions than in integrable ones.

Figure 5. As figure 1, but showing eigenstates of a kicked spin model ($S = 30$). (*a*) Mixed classical regime; (*b*) chaotic classical regime.

In conclusion, the zeros of Husimi functions offer new opportunities to semiclassical analysis in chaotic systems. First, we have yet to understand the dynamical significance of the zeros, and also to unveil their possible limit distributions (could these be uniform? or fractal?). Two approaches suggest themselves next: use (15) as a basis for semiclassical descriptions, thus generalising the WKB method; or apply the techniques of random matrix theory to the set of zeros, treating it as if it were the spectrum of a non-Hermitian operator.

References

Arovas D P *et al* 1988 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60** 619–622
Balazs N L and Voros A 1989 *Ann. Phys., NY* **190** 1–31
Bargmann V 1961 *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **14** 187–214
—— 1967 *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **20** 1–101
Eckhardt B 1988 *Phys. Rep.* **163** 205–297
Hannay J H and Berry M V 1980 Physica D **1** 267–290
Klauder J R and Skagerstam B 1985 *Coherent States* (Singapore: World Scientific)
Kurchan J Leboeuf P and Saraceno M 1989 *Phys. Rev.* A **40** 6800–6813
Leboeuf P and Saraceno M 1990 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** 1745
Lipkin H J Meshkov M and Glick A J 1965 *Nucl. Phys.* **62** 188–198
Nakamura K Okazaki Y and Bishop A R 1986 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** 5–8
Perelomov A 1986 *Generalized Coherent States and their Applications* (New York: Springer)
Saraceno M 1990 *Ann. Phys., NY* at press
Voros A 1989a *Helv. Phys. Acta* **62** 595–612
—— 1989b *Phys. Rev.* A **40** 6814–6825
Whittaker E T and Watson G N 1965 *A Course of Modern Analysis* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) ch 21